

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,948	07/29/2003	Wei Zhan Hang	33417/US	1775
	7590 04/17/200 HITNEY, LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			A, PHI DIEU TRAN	
370 SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 4700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER, CO 80202-5647			3637	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/630,948	HANG, WEI ZHAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phi D. A	3637
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Jac This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 7-9 and 11 is/are pending in the application Papers 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-9,11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the care Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/630,948 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gailey (4736564) in view of Neumann et al (3246074).

Gailey (figure 5) shows a carrier (82), a paneling system (85, 85a) wherein the paneling system has at least a pair of longitudinally adjacent panels, each of which has a first and a second hook-shaped flange (92, 102) extending longitudinally from longitudinally opposite sides of the panel, the carrier comprising an arm (89) that extend longitudinally from a vertically extending member (81), the first and second flanges extend longitudinally over the free end of the carrier.

Gailey does not show the arm including a first upwardly open U-shaped channel between an upstanding free end and an upstanding locking lug and a second upwardly open U-shaped channel between the locking lug and the vertically extending member, the first channel of the carrier receives therein the first hook shaped flange of the one panel of the pair of the panels and the second channel of the carrier receives the second flange of the other panel of the pair of panels to attach the pair of panels to the carrier.

Neumann et al shows the arm including a first upwardly open U-shaped channel between an upstanding free end (34, figure 5) and an upstanding locking lug (the protruding part between 34 and 32, figure 5) and a second upwardly open U-shaped channel between the locking lug and the vertically extending member, the first channel of the carrier receives therein the first hook

Art Unit: 3637

shaped flange of the one panel of the pair of the panels and the second channel of the carrier receives the second flange of the other panel of the pair of panels (81, and the panel which forms the top of the panel 81) to attach the pair of panels to the carrier

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gailey's structure to show the arm including a first upwardly open U-shaped channel between an upstanding free end and an upstanding locking lug and a second upwardly open U-shaped channel between the locking lug and the vertically extending member, the first channel of the carrier receives therein the first hook shaped flange of the one panel of the pair of the panels and the second channel of the carrier receives the second flange of the other panel of the pair of panels to attach the pair of panels to the carrier because it allows for the easy secure attachment of the panels to the carrier as taught by Neumann et al.

3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gailey in view of Neumann et al (3246074).

Gailey as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the upstanding free end of the arm comprising a downwardly and outwardly angled surface.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gailey's modified structure to show the upstanding free end of the arm comprising a downwardly and outwardly angled surface because having a tapering surface would reduce stress at the end of the free end and thus enables the prevention of cracking/chipping of the panel.

4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gailey in view of Neumann et al (3246074) and further in view of in view of Ritter (4937994).

Application/Control Number: 10/630,948 Page 4

Art Unit: 3637

Gailey as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the locking lug extending vertically above the upstanding free end.

Ritter shows the locking lug (18a) extending vertically above the upstanding free end.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gailey's modified structure to show the locking lug extending vertically above the upstanding free end as taught by Ritter because having a higher locking lug would ensure that the panel which is at a higher elevation as it is on top of the other panel, be lockingly secured in the second channel.

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gailey in view of Neumann et al (3246074).

Gailey as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the rim having an outwardly angled surface facing the adjacent longitudinal side of the panel.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gailey's modified structure to show the rim having an outwardly angled surface facing the adjacent longitudinal side of the panel because the rim having an outwardly angled surface facing the adjacent longitudinal side of the panel, would reduce stress at the end of the free end and thus enables the prevention of cracking/chipping of the panel.

Gailey as modified shows the rim slidably receiving the upstanding free end of the one of the pair of carrier during installation of the panel to the carrier.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-9, 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3637

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different panel attachment systems.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,948

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A

4/16/07

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Page 6